



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/714,351	11/17/2003	John M. King	83,669	3332	
	•	7590 07/06/2005		EXAMINER		
Office of Counsel Code OC4				BEAULIEU, YONEL		
	Naval Surface	Warfare Center				
	Indian Head Division 101 Strauss Ave., Bldg. D-31			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3661		
	Indian Head,	MD 20640-5035				

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/714,351	KING, JOHN M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status ·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03.		eatent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/714,351

Art Unit: 3661

Drawings

Figure s 7, 9, 11, and 13 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated (note disclosure at pages 8 and 9, repectively). See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Also, are figs. 2A - 2E, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 5A, 5B, 6A, and 6B intended to be flowcharts? If so, that should be reflected in the next Office action.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because only one period is needed at the end. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Also, equations 7, 8, 12, 13, and 15 and equation appearing on top of page 19 are not quite clear. Some terms overlap each other making it difficult to understand.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,351

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See Fressola v. Manbeck, 36 USPQ2d 1211 (D.D.C. 1995) Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 – 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Steps for *developing rocket motor burnback profiles at a test firing temperature* (claim 1) and *predicting rocket motor ballistics performance at a user selected firing temperature* (claims 5 and 10) are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The intended purposes have not been achieved in either claims: what is finally *developed* in claim 1 is a *prediction* and has no bearing on the intended result.; also, in claim 5, *combining* the burn surface values is only *to form a burnback profile* and does not necessarily achieve the prediction of rocket motor performance; and claim 10 appears not complete – it is not readily understood what takes place after the two developing steps. While one such steps include "prediction," the data profiles are not necessarily ballistic performance.

Claims dependent upon the rejection of claims 1, 5, and 10, whether directly or indirectly, are necessarily rejected.

Art Unit: 3661

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (571) 272-6955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W 9-3; F 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661 BEAULIER PRIMARY EXAMINER